

Sealed

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

CIVIL ACTION NO.

MICROSOFT CORPORATION, H2-
PHARMA, LLC, and GATEHOUSE DOCK
CONDOMINIUM ASSOCIATION, INC.,

Plaintiffs
v.

DOES 1-7,

Defendants

FILED BY _____ D.C.

JAN 07 2026

ANGELA E. NOBLE
CLERK U.S. DIST. CT.
S. D. OF FLA. - MIAMI

FILED UNDER SEAL

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
LEAVE TO EXCEED PAGE LIMITS RE: PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY *EX PARTE*
MOTION FOR TEMPORARY RESTRAINING ORDER AND RELATED RELIEF**

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7 and Local Rule 7.1(c)(2), Plaintiffs hereby move for leave to exceed the page limits for their Emergency *Ex Parte* Motion for Temporary Restraining Order and Related Relief (the "TRO Motion"), which is being filed contemporaneously with this Motion. The TRO Motion is 28 pages.

Under Local Rule 7.1(c)(2), briefs are generally limited to 20 pages. Because of the complexity of the issues presented in this case, however, Plaintiffs cannot fully explain the factual and legal bases for their TRO Motion within the 20-page limit. Accordingly, Plaintiffs respectfully request that this Court grant leave to exceed the page limits imposed by Local Rule 7.1(c)(2). Plaintiffs are filing this Motion contemporaneously with the filing of their case-initiating documents and TRO Motion because of the urgent nature of these proceedings, the

need for emergency relief, and the importance of maintaining confidentiality regarding the relief Plaintiffs request from the Court.

The Court may, in its discretion, grant leave to a party to exceed the page limit set forth in Local Rule 7.1(c)(2) and consider the party's brief in its entirety. Here, because of the substantial public interest involved, the nature of the relief requested, and the complexity of Defendants' unlawful conduct, enlargement of the page limitation is critical to permitting Plaintiffs a full opportunity to describe the extensive technical factual predicate for their TRO Motion.

Plaintiffs are submitting extensive evidence in support of their TRO Motion that must be set forth in detail. In particular, Plaintiffs are submitting detailed technical declarations and other evidence related to the following: (i) the tactics used by Defendants for cybercriminal operations; (ii) the technological complexity of Defendants' scheme; (iii) the harmful effects of Defendants' behaviors on the Plaintiffs, their members or customers, and the general public; and (iv) the irreparable harm suffered by Plaintiffs as a result of Defendants' actions. In order to fully explain the significance of this evidence, Plaintiffs require more than 20 pages of briefing.


Accordingly, given the technical issues presented in this case and the *ex parte* nature of the TRO Motion, Plaintiffs respectfully request relief from Local Rule 7.1(c)(2)'s page limitation so that Plaintiffs can provide the Court with the information it needs to rule on the merits of the TRO Motion.

CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated, Plaintiffs request leave to exceed the page limits set forth in Local Rule 7.1(c)(2) and ask that the Court consider Plaintiffs' TRO Motion in its entirety.

Dated: January 7, 2026

Respectfully submitted,



Diana Marie Fassbender
Diana Marie Fassbender (Florida Bar No. 17095)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
215 NW 24th St, Suite 200
Miami, FL 33127
Tel: (202) 339-8533
dszego@orrick.com

Robert L. Uriarte (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
355 S. Grand Ave.
Ste. 2700
Los Angeles, CA 90017
Tel: (213) 629-2020
Fax: (213) 612-2499
ruriarte@orrick.com

Ana M. Mendez-Villamil (*pro hac vice* forthcoming)
ORRICK, HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
The Orrick Building
405 Howard Street
San Francisco, CA 94105
Tel: (415) 773-5700
amendez-villamil@orrick.com

Of Counsel:

Richard Boscovich
MICROSOFT CORPORATION
Microsoft Redwest Building C
5600 148th Ave NE
Redmond, Washington 98052
Tel: (425) 704-0867
rbosco@microsoft.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs